

PATENT
Docket No. 112171-001.CP1
(TARINFO.015CP1)

REMARKS

After entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 23-43 are pending in the application that are presented for examination in view of the following remarks. By the foregoing amendments, claims 1-6, 8-10 and 21-22 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

REJECTIONS UNDER §102

In the outstanding Office Action claims 1-5, 8-10 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C §102(e) as being anticipated by Attwater et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,940,793).

Applicant respectfully submits that each of the pending claims is patentable over Attwater. Each of the rejected claims has been cancelled. However, in order to move prosecution forward, the following remarks are submitted regarding the newly submitted claims and Attwater.

Each of the independent claims is generally directed to a method of recognizing speech transmitted from a mobile device based on the location of the mobile device. Attwater does not teach or suggest such methods.

For example, claim 23 includes, inter alia, determining the coordinate location of a mobile telephone device and building a dynamic grammar responsive to the determined coordinate location. Attwater contains no description of determining the location of the mobile device. Attwater also does not describe building a dynamic grammar which is responsive to that determined location. Attwater further includes no disclosure of the use of a coordinate location. The use of a coordinate location can provide the benefits of computational efficiency and flexibility.

PATENT
Docket No. 112171-001.CP1
(TARINFO.015CP1)

Independent claim 34 includes, inter alia, determining the location of a mobile device and building a dynamic grammar of information spatially related to the mobile device location based upon a distance around the determined location of the mobile device. Attwater includes no such teaching or suggestion of such a method.

Further, independent claim 39 is directed to a method which includes, inter alia, using the determined location of the mobile device to generate a user prompt. A dynamic grammar is also created in response to the location of the mobile device. Attwater does not teach or suggest such a method.

Applicant respectfully submits that the other rejections contained in the Office Action are mute in view of the new claims.


PATENT
Docket No. 112171-001.CP1
(TARINFO.015CP1)

CONCLUSION

The Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. Accordingly, amendments to the claims, the reasons therefor, and arguments in support of the patentability of the pending claim set are presented above. In light of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is specifically requested. If the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of these claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to initiate the same with the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6/15/06


Richard E. Campbell
Reg. No. 34,790

PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP
530 B Street, Suite 2100
San Diego, California 92101-4469
(619) 238-1900 (Phone)
(619) 235-0398 (Fax)